

# Little Diomede Island Seawater Intake Feasibility Report

September 2002

Prepared for: VECO Polar Resources

Prepared by: Peratrovich, Nottingham & Drage, Inc. 1506 West 36<sup>th</sup> Ave Anchorage, Alaska, 99503

### 1. Executive Summary

This report presents the feasibility of the installation of a seawater intake located at the City of Diomede on Little Diomede Island, Alaska. Several seawater intake installation methods were investigated: horizontal directional drilling (HDD); a blasted trench and anchored casing pipe; excavated trench and anchored pipeline (winter construction); excavated trench and anchored pipeline (summer construction); and anchored pipeline without an excavated trench. The feasibility of each installation method focused on constructability, logistics, construction risk, operational risk, estimated longevity and cost. The preferred installation method, HDD, was selected based upon these criteria.

Subsurface explorations, laboratory testing and geotechnical engineering studies were conducted to obtain actual on-site conditions which were used to develop the feasibility report. The subsurface explorations, laboratory testing and geotechnical engineering studies were conducted by Peratrovich, Nottingham and Drage and are presented in the Appendix of this report.

### 2. Introduction

Little Diomede Island is located 135 miles northwest of Nome in the middle of the Bering Strait. The village, located on the west side of the island has 133 residents who live a subsistence lifestyle. Access to the island is by helicopter during the ice-free months and by small fixed wing aircraft during the period when the sea ice is stable enough to construct a runway, usually from February into May.

The Arctic Environmental Observatory is located at the high school on the north end of the village. A temporary seawater intake line was installed in the summer of 2000 and 2001. The line was incased in a 4" ABS pipe through the surf zone and laid on the seafloor out to a distance of a 130 feet from the shore in 10 feet of water. This method of installation was not reliable, requiring maintenance after summer storms and was vulnerable to damage by sea ice. To reduce the risk of damage to the seawater intake lines and create a long term, low maintenance installation several options have been proposed. The proposed permanent intake is to be located in  $26\pm$  feet of water,  $600\pm$  feet from shore to ensure that it is operable through the winter.

This report addresses the feasibility of four intake structure concepts, the logistics of each option will be described, comparisons of the risk and cost of each will be presented. From these comparisons, one option will proposed as the recommended intake structure.

### 3. Site Information

### **General Site Conditions**

Little Diomede Island is approximately two square miles in area and rises 1300' above the Bering Strait. The island is composed of talus and bedrock of porphyritic granite. The shoreward end of the proposed seawater intake line is at the base of a talus slope that has been benched for construction of the Diomede High School, the school heat plant and

water storage tanks. The bench is 15'-20' above and 35' back from the shore. The slope down to the shore consists of 2-4' sub-angular boulders that become smaller and more rounded toward the shore. This armor slope poses difficult access to the start of the intake at the school.

Underwater video of the temporary intake line on the seafloor out to 150 ft off shore shows rounded cobbles covered in seaweed. At 300 ft, sand and boulders were found at the surface. At 500 ft offshore, a three-foot layer of sand and broken shells were found at the surface of the seafloor. Local residents indicated that sediment from landslides into the sea occurring on the north end of the island, is transported through the area. At 600 ft offshore bedrock was exposed at the surface.

### **Geotechnical Investigation**

PN&D conducted a subsurface investigation at the site from March 6 to April 5, 2002. The investigation consisted of seven testholes to depths of 15 to 49 feet from the ice surface. The complete Geotechnical Report is located in Appendix C.

### **General Subsurface Conditions**

Prior to this project, no subsurface investigations near the proposed landing site of the seawater intake line had been completed. Local residents who worked on construction of the high school just south of the site said that sand and boulders were encountered when excavating for the foundation. Larsen Engineering performed a site investigation for the school foundation. Approximately 150 ft south of the site, three test holes were dug to a depth of up to 6 ft. The hole located nearest the shore had medium-course sand cobble and boulders up to 3 ft diameter. The two holes inshore had fewer cobbles and boulders with sand and fines. Frozen soil was encountered 2.5 to 3 ft deep.

Bedrock is found at an average elevation of 933 ft (arbitrary datum of 1,000 feet at the high school foundation), approximately 40 feet below the sea ice surface. The top of bedrock is relatively flat, with elevations in most testhole locations ranging from 928 ft to 940 ft. Material overlaying the bedrock ranged in thickness from 38 ft inshore to 0.5 ft furthest off-shore. The rock cores obtained from testholes have Rock Quality Designations (RQD's) ranging from 23% to 70% and compressive strength of 19,000 to 21,000 psi .

### 4. Design Criteria

### Ice Design Criteria

Based upon experience in the area and ice design criteria studies at nearby locations, PN&D has produced statistical estimates of level ice thickness for Kotzebue station, approximately 180 miles away. The results of the study should be directly applicable to the Little Diomede facility. The 2, 10 and 100 year design ice thicknesses are 49, 56 and 63 inches respectively. If exposed, the structure should be designed to withstand the ice sheet resting, grinding or crushing against it. Design sea ice strength values of 50 psi for bending strength and 280 psi for compressive strength (crushing) should be used.

As the level ice sheet forms during winter, it freezes around any near shore objects such as armor stone, debris or exposed structures. When the ice sheet moves due to a storm, currents, impacts, etc. any object encapsulated within the ice sheet will likely be moved or along with the ice floe and plucked from its original location. Therefore any exposed structures should be designed to be protected from or to resist these plucking forces.

In addition to the ice conditions discussed above, single-year and multi-year rubble ice or rafted ice will occur as moving floes of level ice collide, ride up over and freeze to each other creating a much thicker ice mass. This process creates sails (above the level ice thickness) and keels (below the level ice thickness). The keels of rubble ice have been measured to be up to 35 feet deep in the general area (near Kotzebue). Therefore, if exposed, the intake structure must be capable of withstanding ice gouging and crushing forces along it's entire length.



View to the north of Diomede High School and steep rock slope at the shore. The Science Shack is underneath the building at the far end of the school.

### **Ocean Waves**

Due to the exposed location of Diomede Island wave heights can be very high. The size of stones on the beaches in the area are an indication of the high wave energy. Any structure in the surf zone must be designed to resist these forces or be protected from them.

### Environmental

The above water portions of the intake structure will be operating in temperature extremes of  $+70^{\circ}$ F to  $-60^{\circ}$ F. The underwater portions will be exposed to a more limited range of temperatures of approximately  $+40^{\circ}$ F to  $+27^{\circ}$ F. The Bering Sea supports a tremendous variety of plant and animal life, especially during the summer months. The intake structure must be designed to accommodate the bio-fouling or be easily serviced or cleaned periodically. Methods such as hot water back-flushing have been used successfully for similar intakes at other locations.

### 5. Intake Structure Concepts

Five intake structure concepts were examined to determine the feasibility, logistics, rough order of magnitude (ROM) costs, and associated construction and operation risks. The concepts investigated were horizontal directional drilling (HDD), excavated trench and buried casing pipe (summer construction), excavated trench and buried casing pipe (winter construction), blasted trench and buried casing pipe, and exposed casing pipe anchored to the sea floor. The results of the investigation and research indicate that the HDD concept appears to have the highest chance of installation success with the least long-term risk, resulting in the lowest annualized cost. Therefore HDD is the recommended intake structure, it will be discussed in more detail than the other alternatives.

### 5.5 Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD)

### Description

HDD is a specialized type of drilling which can drill through most any type of material including cobbles and high quality granite rock which are found at this site. The direction of the drill bit is controlled at the surface by an operator on the drill rig. A transmitter/receiver apparatus or a wireline are used to communicate with the bit. The radius which can be drilled by HDD depends on the stiffness of the drill stem, type of equipment and subsurface conditions. A general rule of thumb for radius of curvature is 100 ft per inch diameter of drill stem, (approximately 600 ft radius for this project). Bentonite drilling mud is used to lubricate the drill stem, help hold the drill hole open in soft soils, and to transport the drill cuttings out of the hole.

Based upon the geotechnical report and discussions with HDD contractors, the drilling portion of the project is considered to be difficult but well within normal realms of risk for the industry. Drilling through cobbles and boulders can be challenging as the drill bit may try to wander off course and the drilled hole can collapse as cobbles shift. The high quality bedrock (20,000 psi) found at this site is regularly drilled in the industry, several contractors noted drilling up to 40,000 psi rock. Specialized hard rock drilling equipment will be required but it should not pose great difficulty.

Discussions with several experienced HDD contractors have resulted in the recommendation of recently developed equipment for this project, the JT4020 All Terrain manufactured by Ditch Witch. This equipment is much smaller than typical

HDD machines yet develops high thrust of 40,000 lb. This small self-propelled drill rig is designed specifically for drilling the type of hard rock and cobbles found at this site. The size, mobility and weight of the rig make it ideal for transportation and accessing difficult and remote sites. Information on this equipment has been included in Appendix B.

The Ditch Witch JT4020 and an experienced drilling crew will be used in September 2002 to drill up to three 800-ft long holes in fractured volcanic rock at Ascension Island in the South Atlantic Ocean for the Navy. While the transportation and logistics at Ascension Island are not as difficult as Little Diomede, it is a very remote location with minimal on-site support. Steps are being taken to anticipate foreseeable problems and ensure that all necessary supplies, parts and equipment are available should they be necessary. The lessons learned during the Ascension Island installation should be reviewed, evaluated and applied to the Little Diomede project.

The proposed drilled bore at Little Diomede will be approximately 10 inches in diameter, allowing a 6 inch inside diameter (7 in outside diameter) HDPE pipe to be installed in the bore. This should provide more than ample space for water sampling lines, fiber optics, heat trace and electrical conduits as well as room for expansion in the future.

Due to the drill angle of incidence with the fairly shallow bedrock, the drill bit could skid along the gravel / bedrock interface and have difficulty starting the penetration into bedrock. Slow, careful drilling and an experienced crew will minimize the possibility of this situation. However, it is conceivable that bedrock penetration may not be possible due to bedrock slope, etc. This would make the drilling operation more difficult as the entire bore would be through the gravel / cobble layer. Additionally, the bore may surface at a distance or water depth less than that desired if there is exposed bedrock on the sea floor less than 600 ft off-shore. While this scenario is unlikely, the scientific effects of a seawater intake located closer to shore should be considered and evaluated.

Approximately 60 tons of equipment and supplies are required (not including water for drilling mud). The required equipment includes a self-propelled, track mounted drilling unit; skid or trailer mounted units consisting of mud mixing tanks; a mud recycling system; drill pipe racks; and cuttings pit.

A significant amount of set-up room is required for the drill rig and supporting equipment. A bare minimum of 50 ft by 50 ft has been suggested by knowledgeable contractors. This presents a major problem for drilling from the school site, as the available set-up area is approximately 25 ft x 70 ft, with less than ideal orientation of the space. Access up the steep armor rock slope will be very difficult in the winter, and nearly impossible in the summer (no access at base of slope).

Proposed alternative drilling sites closer to the heliport and should be thoroughly investigated. Discussions with FAA (for helipad clearance) and local residents are needed to investigate the impacts of moving the drill site to an alternate location. If an

alternate drilling location is acceptable, the intake structure could be drilled in two sections, one from the drill site to the intake location, the other from the drill site to the science center (at it's existing location). This would provide more set-up area, and possibly a better angle of incidence for bedrock penetration. Due to existing infrastructure (i.e. power, communication links, etc.) as well as the educational benefits for the students at the school, it is undesirable to move the location of the science shack.

Once the hole has been initially drilled, divers will be required to remove the cutting head from the drill-string, install the back-reamer and attach the HDPE casing pipe to the back-reamer. A barge anchored offshore would hold the spool of casing pipe as the drill back-reams and pulls the casing pipe back through the bore to shore. This method is used successfully for installing pipelines and outfalls throughout the world and eliminates the risk of the open drill hole collapsing after the drill-string has been removed.

The use of conventional drilling mud presents a significant problem at Little Diomede. The drill hole is expected to require about 40,000 gallons of water, much more than what is available at the village which is supplied by natural run-off and typically has little surplus. This requires that either 40,000 gallons of fresh water be brought from another location or use of drilling muds designed for saltwater applications. Drilling muds designed for salt water applications, such as Wyo-Ben SW 101(information is included in Appendix B) is the preferred choice for logistical reasons. However, these drilling muds are relatively new and should only be used with experienced drillers that are comfortable with the mud performance. The seawater drilling muds cost more than twice that of conventional muds and must be used in greater concentration, however these cost differences are insignificant in the total cost of the project.

Equipment and portable tanks will be required to transport the drill cuttings and the used drilling muds off the island for disposal off-site. It is expected that about 20 cubic yards of cuttings and up 40,000 gallons of used drilling mud will be generated. The drill cuttings and muds are not considered hazardous materials and may be able to be disposed of near the project site.

### Possible U.S. Navy Cost Sharing

In the past, the U.S. Navy has indicated interest in the project. Possible Navy involvement consisted of training personnel during construction; using an older prototype of water jet drilling equipment at reduced cost; and extensive logistical and air transportation support which could be provided at reduced cost. However, recent conversations with Wayne Tausig, director of the Ocean Engineering Division of the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center in Port Hueneme, California have revealed that the Navy's interest in the project has dwindled due to recent world events. It appears that cost sharing of the installation, logistics or transportation is unlikely.

### Logistics

The construction at Little Diomede should be approached as a fully self-sufficient

operation. All drilling supplies, equipment, spare parts, drilling muds and additives, as well as accommodations for room and board should be brought to the site.

From all aspects, it is advantageous to perform the drilling during the summer months. The equipment will operate better with lower maintenance, worker efficiency will be higher, longer daylight hours, equipment is not required to over-winter, and the school will not be in session. For all of these reasons, this report does not address the logistics of a winter drilling operation.

The complicating factors which must be addressed are: mobilization of men and equipment; operation of equipment in an extremely remote site; water for drilling muds  $(40,000\pm$  gallons); on-site disposal or transportation and off-site disposal of used drilling muds  $(40,000\pm$  gallons); and permitting for the project (fisheries, marine mammal concerns, etc.).

The equipment (most likely located in the lower 48 states) would travel by truck or rail to a port site such as Seattle, Washington. Along with the drilling equipment, supplies and fuel, a mid-size front end loader (Cat 966) and a smaller mobile forklift (Bobcat) would be brought to the site to support the drilling equipment. A commercial barge would transport the equipment and materials to a port in Alaska near to the project site such as Nome, where a smaller landing craft type barge would complete the final leg of the mobilization. A smaller tug boat will likely be required (depending on type of landing craft) for the leg to the project site and during construction. The smaller tug boat and landing craft could be hired locally to reduce project cost if possible.

The area around Little Diomede usually becomes ice free at the end of June or early July. Upon arrival to the site, and pending calm weather, the landing craft barge would be nosed into the beach and unloaded. The front-end loader would be used to build an unloading ramp for the drilling equipment and to perform the site preparation for drilling. The drilling, mud mixing and recycling equipment would be used to load the rack with drill pipe, move pallets of drilling mud and perform other miscellaneous tasks.

The entire drilling operation (drill and pull casing) is expected to take 4 to 8 weeks to drill two holes (drill site to intake and drill site to science shack) depending on difficulty of drilling. The area around Diomede usually stays ice free until November, however fall storms are noted to be fierce.

It is very important to the success of the project to have a team of qualified people experienced with the logistics of working in remote areas of Alaska, plan and coordinate the details of the project.

### **Construction Risks**

- Drill may follow contour of bedrock intake may be exposed at less than 600 ft off-shore.
- Equipment failure no access to parts, etc.

- Complications due to seawater compatible drilling muds
- Difficulty attaching back-reamer and casing pipe to drill head
- Fierce storms could delay project or damage construction barge
- Disposal of drilling muds and cuttings (off-site disposal eliminates this risk)

### **Operational Risks**

- Very low risk of damage from external forces (ice, waves, ship, etc.)
- Risk of bio-fouling or debris clogging is same as other options

### Expected Life of Structure

Indefinite (Estimated 25 to 50 years)

### **ROM Cost - HDD**

			Unit	Total
	Project Component	Quantity	Cost (\$)	Cost (\$)
1	Mobilize Equipment to Dock (Seattle)	1 LS	\$20,000	\$20,000
2	Commercial Tug and Barge to Mobilize Equip. to Nome	150,000 lbs.	\$0.30	\$45,000
3	Purchase Supplies For Project (Muds, parts, etc)	1 LS	\$100,000	\$100,000
4	Purchase Intake pipeline	28,000 lbs.	\$1.50	\$42,000
5	Landing Craft (Nome to/from Diomede & Constr.)	50 days	\$6,000	\$300,000
6	Small Tug Boat (Nome to/from Diomede & Constr.)	50 days	\$6,000	\$300,000
7	Mobilize Drilling Crew to Site (Helicopter)	7 ea.	\$4,000	\$28,000
8	Room and Board on barge (for 7 man crew)	50 days	\$350	\$17,500
9	Standby for drilling and support equip. during transport	40 days	\$5,000	\$200,000
10	Drilling Operation	42 shifts	\$18,000	\$756,000
11	Standby for drilling team during construction	8 shifts	\$8,000	\$64,000
12	Mobilize Dive Team and equipment	1 LS	\$20,000	\$20,000
13	Dive team to connect casing pipe to drill stem	3 shifts	\$4,000	\$12,000
14	Dive Team Standby	7 shifts	\$2,000	\$14,000
15	Dive Team to install intake structure	3 shifts	\$3,500	\$10,500
16	Demob Dive Team	1 LS	\$20,000	\$20,000
17	Demob Drilling Crew from Site (Helicopter)	7 ea.	\$4,000	\$28,000
18	Off-Site disposal of drilling muds (40,000 gallons)	1 LS	\$40,000	\$40,000
19	Commercial Tug and Barge Demob from Site	150,000 lbs.	\$0.30	\$45,000
	Total ROM Const	ruction Cost	(+/- 25%)	\$2,100,000
	Technical Support			

1 Design Engineering, Logistics, Technical Support (7%)\$147,0002 Eng. Construction Inspection & Tech Support (30 days at 12 hours/day plus travel)\$50,000Total with ROM Technical Support Costs\$2,300,000

Contingency (25%) \$575,000

### Total Project Cost \$2,900,000

### 5.5 Blasted Trench and Anchored Casing Pipe

### Description

Many municipal and private outfall lines throughout Alaska have been constructed by blasting. The blasted trench allows the top of casing pipe to rest below the surrounding area. The pipe is essentially shielded from damage by the surrounding sediments. Along the casing pipe and especially in the surf zone the pipe would be rock bolted or otherwise anchored to large boulders and the trench would be back filled with large cobbles and boulders as protection from wave action and ice. In the areas further offshore, the excavated trench acts as a shield from the plucking and gouging effects of the ice. The trench prevents the pipe from being pushed or rolled as the top of the flanges to provide additional ballast to prevent movement.

The construction would take place during the winter months when the ice can be used as a working surface, divers can work in fairly calm seas and few marine mammals and other animals are present. A trench approximately 4 feet deep would be excavated from the school through the tidal zone to a water depth of about 10 feet (150 feet offshore), the excavation limit of a standard backhoe. The remaining portion of the trench would be at least 3 feet deep and excavated using explosives. Divers trained and licensed to performing such work would drill holes into the boulders or overburden, place the explosives in the holes and detonate from a safe distance away. This process would be repeated if necessary to provide adequate trench depth. Due to the damping effects of the water, it is possible that portions of the trench would have to be cleaned out and prepared for the pipe casing by additional blasting or with long reach excavation equipment.

A heavy wall, high density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe (8 in diameter x 0.5 in thick or similar) prefabricated in sections with flanged ends and bolted connections would be assembled on the ice surface. The short sections of fairly light weight pipe would be used to accommodate transportation in a small fixed wing aircraft. After the trench has been successfully excavated, and the casing pipe and weights assembled, it would be lifted and rolled into place using a backhoe with a sling starting from the shore-side. Controlled flooding of the casing pipe with water will aid in sinking and controlling its placement in the trench. Various types of elbows would be used to allow the pipe to conform to shape of the trench as it travels up the steeply sloped section near the school. The HDPE material is well suited for this environment as it is corrosion resistant, more flexible than steel at low temperatures, does not easily fatigue, joints and connections can be fabricated in the field, and it is readily available and fairly inexpensive.

### Logistics

The construction would take place in the winter. The crew and equipment would mobilize to the site in February, after the ice runway has been constructed. It unlikely that a reliable backhoe with a thumb attachment for handling armor stone and

performing near shore excavation would be available locally. This equipment would need to be mobilized to the site by barge the previous summer and demobilized the following summer.

Mobilization of crew and equipment will take about one week. The near-shore excavation is expected to take approximately two weeks to complete as work will be slow due to the frozen soils. The off-shore blasting excavation (approximately 450 ft of trench) is expected to take approximately 5 weeks. Casing pipe assembly can be completed simultaneously. Installation of the pipe in to the trench will occur within a few days. The anchoring of the casing pipe to submarine boulders and burial of the near shore portion will likely take two weeks. Total project time is estimated to be nine weeks.

### **Construction Risks**

- Blasting plan must be permitted and approved by local residents could be difficult to obtain approval.
- Blasting may damage ice sheet making placement of casing pipe more difficult.
- Winter operation of equipment carries high risk of maintenance and other breakdowns which could cause project delays.
- Blasting alone may not be effective in removing all debris from excavated trench. Additional excavation equipment such as a long reach backhoe may be required.

### **Operational Risks**

- Significant risk of damage from external forces (ice, waves, ship, etc.)
- Risk of bio-fouling or debris clogging is same as other options
- If pipe anchors or ballast weights break, casing pipe can be moved around by ice and/or wave action and damaged or destroyed.

### Expected Life of Structure

Unknown (likely two to ten years)

		Unit	Total
Project Component	Quantity	Cost (\$)	Cost (\$)
1 Purchase large backhoe to use at the site	1 ea.	\$350,000	\$350,000
2 Tug and Barge to Mob. Equip. and mat'ls - Seattle to Site	20 days	\$12,000	\$240,000
3 Mobilize Crew (10 man) to site (from Anchorage)	10 ea.	\$3,000	\$30,000
4 Blasting Consumables	1 LS	\$100,000	\$100,000
5 Room and Board on site (for 7 man crew)	63 days	\$1,050	\$66,150
6 Blasting, Excavation, Installation Operation	63 shifts	\$15,000	\$945,000
7 Storage fee for equipment and materials	11 mo	\$1,000	\$11,000
8 Intake pipeline HDPE 8 inch diameter (w/ hardware)	650 ft	\$4.00	\$2,600
9 Demob Crew from Site	10 ea.	\$3,000	\$30,000
10 Tug and Barge Demob. Equip. and mat'ls from Site	20 days	\$12,000	\$240,000
	-	Total	\$2,000,000

### **ROM Cost - Blasted Trench and Anchored Casing pipe**

### **Technical Support**

1 Design Engineering, Logistics, Technical Support (7%)

\$140,000

2 Eng. Construction Inspection & Tech Support (30 days at 12 hours/day plus travel) \$50,000

Total with ROM Technical Support Costs \$2,190,000

Contingency (25%) \$547,500

Total Project Cost \$2,700,000

### 5.5 Excavated Trench and Anchored Casing pipe – Winter Construction

### Description

This scenario is similar to the blasted trench and anchored casing pipe except the trench is excavated entirely with mechanized equipment. The section of the pipe on and near-shore would be trenched using a conventional backhoe. As the depth of the trench exceeds the reach of the backhoe, a specialized extended reach backhoe would complete the excavation of the remaining portion of the trench. A trenching machine would be required to saw through the ice sheet creating a slot in the ice about 8 ft wide.

These specialized backhoes were used successfully in a similar capacity for the installation of the 32,000 ft Northstar oil pipeline in the Beaufort Sea a few years ago. Three of these backhoes exist on the North Slope of Alaska and are currently available for use. They have a reach of up to 55 feet and are equipped with very large track systems which distribute the load and allow them to float in emergency situations. Due to the very long boom, the excavating bucket is small about 1.5 cy. A thumb attachment would be needed to manipulate underwater boulders and cobbles, however large boulders would have to be removed using divers and a sling system or explosives.

The excavated material would have to be hauled in dump trucks to a temporary stockpile area near shore where the ice is grounded. A crew of men would work behind the backhoe assembling the flanged sections of casing pipe and attaching the ballast weights. After the trench has been successfully excavated, the pipe would be lowered into the trench starting from the shore-side. A team of divers would work to assist in the final placement and anchor the casing pipe to large boulders. After placement is complete, the stockpiled excavation spoils would be placed back in the trench, on top of the casing pipe.

### Logistics

The construction would take place in the winter. During the previous summer, a barge would be used to mobilize the casing pipe and ballast materials, the long reach backhoe, standard backhoe, dump trucks, ditch witch trenching machine and other miscellaneous equipment. The equipment would then be stored on the island until the following spring. The crew and equipment would mobilize to the site in February, after the ice runway has been constructed.



Long reach amphibious backhoe used for the construction of the Northstar production pipeline, Beaufort Sea, Alaska.

The near-shore excavation is expected to take approximately two weeks to complete as work will be slow due to the frozen soils. Blasting the frozen soil will likely not be desirable due to the close proximity of buildings. The off-shore excavation (approximately 450 ft of trench) is expected to take approximately 3 weeks. Casing

pipe assembly can be performed as the trench is completed. Installation of the pipe into the trench will occur within a few days. The anchoring of the casing pipe to submarine boulders and burial of the near shore portion will likely take an additional two weeks. Total project time is estimated to be six weeks. A barge would be mobilized the following summer to retrieve the backhoe, trencher and other equipment.

### **Construction Risks**

- Excavation plan must obtain permit agency approval and be acceptable to local residents
- Equipment vulnerable to damage during long term storage on site
- Winter operation of equipment carries high risk of maintenance and other breakdowns which could cause project delays.
- Excavation of large boulders will be tedious, time consuming work
- If exposed bed rock or very large boulders are encountered, other excavation methods such as blasting will be required.

### **Operational Risks**

- Moderate risk of damage from external forces (ice, waves, ship, etc.)
- If casing pipe anchors or ballast weights break, the pipe can be moved around by ice and/or waves and likely damaged or destroyed.
- Risk of bio-fouling or debris clogging is same as other options.

### **Expected Life of Structure**

Unknown (likely two to ten years)

			Unit	Total
	Project Component	Quantity	Cost (\$)	Cost (\$)
1	Mobilize Equipment to Anchorage, Alaska	1 LS	\$20,000	\$20,000
2	Purchase steel pipe materials	28,000 lbs.	\$1.50	\$42,000
3	Tug and Barge to mob materials and equipment to site	12 days	\$12,000	\$144,000
4	Storage fee for materials and equipment on the island	11 mo.	\$1,000	\$11,000
5	Assemble long reach backhoe	1 LS	\$50,000	\$50,000
6	Standby charge for equip. (backhoes, trenchers, etc.)	10 mo.	\$25,000	\$250,000
7	Mobilize crew to site	10 ea.	\$3,000	\$30,000
8	Diver crew standby charge (two teams)	20 days	\$3,500	\$70,000
9	Diver crew dive charges (two teams)	15 days	\$7,000	\$105,000
10	Room and Board on site (for 12 man crew)	40 days	\$1,800	\$72,000
11	Long reach backhoe charges (w/ operator)	35 shifts	\$3,500	\$122,500
12	Other equipment (std. Backhoe, trencher, etc.) w/ oper.	35 shifts	\$10,000	\$350,000
13	Demob Crew from site	1 LS	\$30,000	\$30,000
14	Tug and Barge to demob materials, equip. from site	12 days	\$12,000	\$144,000
		٦	<b>Fotal</b>	\$1,400,000
	Technical Support			
	••			

### **ROM Cost - Excavated Trench and Anchored Casing Pipe – Winter Construction**

1	Design Engineering, Logistics, Technical Support (7%)	\$98,000
2	Eng. Construction Inspection & Tech Support (30 days at 12 hours/day plus travel)	\$50,000

### Total with ROM Technical Support Costs \$1,550,000

Contingency (25%) \$387,500

Total Project Cost \$1,900,000

### 5.5 Excavated Trench and Anchored Casing Pipe – Summer Construction

### Description

This scenario is similar to the previous excavated trench and anchored casing pipe except the trench is excavated with mechanized equipment from a barge during the summer months. The section of the casing pipe on and near-shore would be trenched using a conventional backhoe on shore. The area which cannot be reached from the barge with the long reach backhoe or from the shore with a conventional backhoe would have to be excavated in the winter by a backhoe or by an other method such as blasting.

A large landing craft or barge with a heavy duty four point anchoring system would be required for the off-shore construction. The long reach backhoe would work off the

deck of the barge allowing excavation to about forty foot depth, sufficient for this project. According to the Coastal Pilots Association, heavy seas occur less than 5% of the time, with high wind (40 knots plus) occurring at about the same frequency during the summer. The average summer sea state has a 1-3 ft swell. Dense fog during the summer months which can hinder transportation, would not impact the construction once underway. If a storm or large swell arose the barge would have to be moved out to deeper water and wait for calmer weather. Project weather delays could be significant. An incomplete excavated trench could be partially filled by a storm or large swell, however it is unlikely that all work would be lost. Portions of the trench filled in by storms would have to be re-excavated.

The excavated material would not need to be removed from the water and could be mounded adjacent to the trench if permitting agencies allow. After the trench has been successfully excavated, the flanged sections of pipe would be assembled, ballast weights attached, and lowered into the trench starting from the shore-side. A team of divers would work to assist in the final placement and to anchor the casing pipe to large submarine boulders. After placement is complete, the mounded excavation spoils would be pushed back in the trench, on top of the pipe.

### Logistics

The mobilization, construction and demobilization would take place over one year. The first summer a large backhoe would be mobilized to the site to be used the following winter for the near shore excavation. The second summer, the mobilization would include all materials and equipment needed for the construction. The tug and construction barge would also provide the food and lodging for the crew during the project.

The on and near-shore excavation would be completed during the spring with the large back hoe. The near shore work is expected to take approximately two weeks to complete as work could be slow due to the frozen soils. During the summer the construction barge will the work platform from which the long reach back would excavate the off-shore portion of the trench. The off-shore excavation (approximately 450 ft of trench) is expected to take approximately four weeks. Partial casing pipe assembly and ballast weight attachment can be completed simultaneously on the barge. Installation of the pipe into the trench will occur within a few days of trench completion. The anchoring of the entire casing pipe to submarine boulders and burial of the near shore portion will likely take an additional two weeks. Total project construction time (summer phase) is estimated to be about seven weeks.

### **Construction Risks**

- Summer storms could cause significant delays in excavation from barge
- Excavation plan must obtain permit agency approval and be acceptable to local residents
- If exposed bed rock or very large boulders are encountered, other excavation methods such as blasting will be required.

### **Operational Risks**

- Moderate risk of damage from external forces (ice, waves, ship, etc.)
- If casing pipe anchors or ballast weights break, the pipe may be moved around by ice and/or wave action and likely damaged or destroyed.
- Risk of bio-fouling or debris clogging is same as other options.

### Expected Life of Structure

Unknown (likely two to ten years)

### **ROM Cost - Excavated Trench and Anchored Casing Pipe – Summer Construction**

			Unit	Total					
	Project Component	Quantity	Cost (\$)	Cost (\$)					
1	Purchase backhoe for near shore excav. (winter)	1 LS	\$250,000	\$250,000					
2	Tug and Barge to mobilize backhoe to site	20 days	\$12,000	\$240,000					
3	Winter excavation of near shore trench	14 shifts	\$2,500	\$35,000					
4	Mobilize Equipment to Anchorage, Alaska	1 LS	\$20,000	\$20,000					
5	Purchase steel pipe and ballast materials	50,000 lbs.	\$1.50	\$75,000					
6	Tug and Barge to mob materials, equip. to site	20 days	\$12,000	\$240,000					
7	Assemble long reach backhoe	1 LS	\$50,000	\$50,000					
8	Mobilize crew to site	1 LS	\$30,000	\$30,000					
9	Tug and Barge on Site for Construction	42 days	\$12,000	\$504,000					
10	Diver crew standby charge	14 days	\$2,000	\$28,000					
11	Diver crew dive charges	14 days	\$5,000	\$70,000					
12	Room and Board on Tug / Barge	42 days	\$1,050	\$44,100					
13	Long reach backhoe charges (w/ operator)	21 shifts	\$3,500	\$73,500					
14	Other equipment (std. Backhoe, etc.) w/ oper.	10 shifts	\$2,500	\$25,000					
15	Demob Crew from site	1 LS	\$30,000	\$30,000					
16	Standby charge for equipment	2 mo.	\$20,000	\$30,000					
17	Tug and Barge to demob equipment from site	20 days	\$12,000	\$240,000					
		7	Fotal	\$1,500,000					
	Technical Support								
1	1 Design Engineering, Logistics, Technical Support (7%)								
2	Eng. Construction Inspection & Tech Support (30 day	y plus travel)	\$50,000						

Total with ROM Technical Support Costs \$1,660,000

Contingency (25%) \$415,000

Total Project Cost \$2,100,000

### 5.5 Anchored Casing pipe (no trench) – Summer Construction

### Description

This scenario eliminates the logistics and costs of a submarine excavated trench and uses only an anchored casing pipe, thereby reducing construction risk. However it results in substantially increased operating risk from wave and ice damage.

The construction would take place during the summer using a barge. Heavy excavating equipment would not be required. The casing pipe would be flanged heavy-wall steel pipe, providing as much self weight and durability as possible. The pipe would be assembled and ballast weights attached on the deck of the barge. The assembly would then be placed on the ocean bottom. Divers would be used to aid in the placement of the casing pipe, help to avoid obstacles and install pipe anchors to large boulders. The spacing of the ballast weights and anchors would be increased in the near shore area to help resist the large breaking wave forces.

### Logistics

The mobilization, construction and demobilization would take place in the summer, eliminating the need and cost of multiple mobilizations. The mobilization would include all materials and equipment needed for the construction. The tug and construction barge would also provide the food and lodging for the construction crew.

The near shore work is expected to take approximately two weeks to complete as work could be slow due to breaking waves. Off-shore casing pipe installation and anchoring to submarine boulders can occur simultaneously and will likely take about three weeks. Total project construction time is estimated to be about 30-days.

### **Construction Risks**

- Summer storms could cause significant delays in casing pipe installation
- Ocean bottom may not provide adequate structure to anchor casing pipe

### **Operational Risks**

- High risk of damage from external forces (ice, waves, ship, etc.)
- If casing pipe anchors or ballast weights break, the pipe will be moved around and likely damaged or destroyed.
- Risk of bio-fouling or debris clogging is same as other options.

### **Expected Life of Structure**

Unknown (likely one to five years)

Project Component	Quantity	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost (\$)
	50.000 !! .	¢¢¢;	¢75.000
1 Purchase steel pipe materials	50,000 lbs.	\$1.50	\$75,000
2 Tug and Barge to mob materials, equip. to site	25 days	\$10,000	\$250,000
3 Mobilize crew to site	10 ea.	\$4,000.0	\$40,000
4 Tug and Barge on Site for Construction	30 days	\$12,000.00	\$360,000
5 Diver crew standby charge	7 days	\$2,000.0	\$14,000
6 Diver crew dive charges	21 days	\$5,000.0	\$105,000
7 Room and Board on Tug / Barge	21 days	\$1,000	\$21,000
8 Light equipment (Dive gear, misc. equip., etc)	30 days	\$1,000	\$30,000
9 Heavy equipment (small crane to place casing, etc.)	75 days	\$1,500	\$112,500
10 Demob Crew from site	1 LS	\$40,000	\$40,000
11 Tug and Barge to demob mat'ls, equip. from site	20 days	\$10,000	\$200,000
		Total	\$1,200,000
Technical Support			

### **ROM Cost - Anchored Casing Pipe (no Trench)– Summer Construction**

1	Desię	gn Er	ngin	eerir	ng, l	Logist	ics,	Те	chr	nica	I Support (7%)			\$84,000
~	-	~					~	-		~		 		 <b>*</b> =• • • •

2 Eng. Construction Inspection & Tech Support (30 days at 12 hours/day plus travel) \$50,000

### Total with ROM Technical Support Costs \$1,330,000

Contingency (25%) \$332,500

### Total Project Cost \$1,700,000

### **Risk Comparison**

Possible risks during the construction and operation of the project were evaluated for each intake structure alternative and ranked in comparison to the other structure types. The risks listed do not all have the same probability or the same impact to the project, therefore the risk totals should not be considered absolute, however they do provide a good comparison relative to each other. Risk ranking: 3-high; 2- moderate; 1-low; and 0-none.

	HDD	Blasted	Excavated	Anchored
Risk Description		Trench	Trench	Pipeline
Construction Risks				
Exposed Bedrock in shallow water	1.5	1	1	1
Denial of Construction Permit	1	3	2	1
Equipment Failure During Construction	2	2	2	1
Damage to Construction Barge	2	0	2.5	2.5
Delays Due to Poor Weather / Storms	1	1	3	3
Constructability Risks	1	3	2	1
Construction Risk Sub-Total	8.5	10	12.5	9.5
<b>Operational Risks</b>				
Damage from Large Waves / Storms	0	2	2	3
Damage from Ice Floe Attack	0	1	1	3
Difficulty of Inspecting Pipeline	3	2	2	1
Affected by Extreme Temperatures	1	2	2	3
Operational Risk Sub-Total	4	7	7	10
Total Combined Risk	12.5	17	19.5	19.5

### 4 Annualized Cost

Based upon the R.O.M. construction cost and the estimated usable life of each alternative individual annualized costs were developed. It is important to note that the usable life of each structure is estimated, however it does give a good idea of relative annualized costs. The average expected useful life was used to determine the annualized cost.

	HDD	Blasted Trench	Excavated Trench	Excavated Trench	Anchored Pipeline
ROM Cost (\$MM)	\$2.9	\$2.7	\$1.9 (winter)	\$2.1 (summer)	\$1.7
		Expected	Useful Structure	ELife (years)	
Minimum	25	2	5	5	1
Average	37.5	6	7.5	7.5	3
Maximum	50	10	10	10	5
Annualized Cost (Ave Exp. Life)	\$77,000	\$450,000	\$253,000	\$280,000	\$567,000

### Annualized Cost Comparison

### 5 Conclusions

Based upon cost, longevity, construction and logistics, HDD is the recommended intake structure. While it does not have the lowest initial construction cost, the annualized cost is by far the lowest due to the expected long life of the structure. Correspondence with numerous HDD contractors and remote operations logistical experts have instilled confidence that this project is feasible with the right people and equipment for the job. The following execution plan outlines the technical tasks required to complete the project.

### 6 Project Execution Plan - HDD Intake

### **Design Phase**

- Determine if alternate HDD location shown in Figure 1 (in Appendix A) is acceptable to all parties (including the FAA).
- Review results of HDD operation at Ascension Island, incorporate applicable lessons learned in to this project.
- Design / specify all components to be installed in seawater intake casing (i.e. fiber optics, heat trace, environmental sensors, seawater intake pump and sample line, etc.)
- Develop permitting documents, submit to Agencies, obtain permit approval
- Design HDD seawater intake (exact start / end locations, size of casing pipe, fabricated intake structure, mechanical / electrical components, etc.)

### **Execution Phase**

- Establish contact and begin project planning with logistical, drilling and engineering experts familiar with the area.
- Select team of drilling personnel for the project
- Complete planning and preparations
- Compile equipment and materials for the project
- Mobilize to project site
- Install HDD intake structure
- Install and test instruments, pumps and components
- Demobilize from site

Appendix A

Little Diomede Site Plan

And Alternate Drill Site Location





## PRELIMINARY DRAWING NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

# LITTLE DIOMEDE SEA WATER INTAKE

P Peratrovich, Nottingham & Drage, Inc. **D** Engineering Consultants

1506 West 36th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99503

(907) 561-1011 FAX (907) 563-4220

HDD CONCEPT PLAN

sheet 1 of 1 Appendix B

Ditch Witch JT4020

Equipment and Materials Information

**DITCH WITCH®** 

# JT4020 All Terrein Horizontal Directional Drilling System

THE NEXT GENERATION IN HORIZONTAL DIRECTIONAL DRILLING INNOVATION.

T.

个目

100

**DitchWitch** 

- Productive drilling and backreaming in all types of soil conditions, including rock.
- Mach 1 technology offers electronic innovations that can help achieve improved levels of reliability and performance.
- Exclusive cruise control feature helps increase overall productivity by giving the operator a hands-free way to maintain thrust and rotation settings.

Ditch Witch

VORLOWIDE

DERGROUND AUT

# A Systems Approach to Dependable Power and Performance



### **Training and Support**

· Your local Ditch Witch dealer has the knowledge and experience to prepare you and your crew for equipment operation.





## **Ditch Witch Subsite® Tracking Systems**

- . No other tracking system on the market today is more advanced than the Ditch Witch Subsite 750 Tracker and 750 Display.
- Innovative Trac Management System Plus lets you plan or plot every bore you make.

### **Fluid Mixing Systems**

- Ditch Witch fluid mixing systems feature self-feeding venturi hoppers.
- . Totally compatible with the drilling unit's onboard fluid pump for maximum pressure and flow.



### **Downhole Tool Parts Catalog**

• A broad selection of downhole tools for efficient productivity in virtually every soil condition. · Downhole tools can be custom-built to accommodate special needs and applications.

# **Drill Pipe**

 Ditch Witch drill pipe balances strength and flexibility to gain maximum performance from the drilling unit.

\*Features a composite design that utilizes the best type of metal for each component of the pipe.









### Vacuum Evacuation Systems

- · A variety of configurations and components offer the ability to handle many types of jobs.
- Two sizes of vacuum tanks available: 500 gal
- (1893 L) or 800 gal (3028 L).
- FX30 vac system features a 28 gross hp (20.9 kW) Kubota diesel engine.
- · Enclosed and insulated power pack makes it
- the quietest running vac system in the industry.
- Designed to achieve up to 40% greater filtration over competitive systems.
- · Controls are conveniently located in one lockable, lighted, curbside operator station for convenience.

### **Dealer Service**

. The Ditch Witch advantage means rapid parts availability and convenient, high-quality repair service \*Reliable dealer service and support is available worldwide, wherever your job takes you next.

# **JT4020 All Terrain Horizontal Directional Drilling System**

### 1. Mechanical rock drilling system

The JT4020 All Terrain patented rock drilling system provides more power to the bit than any other rock drilling system in its class:

- · Uses an outer pipe for steering and backreaming, and an inner pipe for mechanically powering the bit during the pilot bore.
- Averages only 15-20 gpm (60-80 L/min) of drilling fluid flow while drilling; which means a reduced opportunity for environmental impact and less cleanup than a conventional mud motor rock drilling system.
- This compact system can drill more rock, more efficiently, than larger, more expensive rock drilling systems.

2. Industry standard pipeloader.

Hydraulic pipe grippers, single pipe loading, hydraulic shuttle stops, and automated thread lubrication set the industry standard for speed and efficiency.

### 3. Tracker control.

Gives the tracker operator the ability to disable power to the drilling unit's thrust and rotation when desired, such as before changing downhole tools.



speed drilling control, dual thrust drive motors also provide the higher speeds necessary for rapidly loading drill pipe.

### 9. High drilling fluid flow.

Onboard pump enables productive drilling at extended distances. Normal flow rate can be operated simultaneously with thrust/pullback and rotation.

### 8. Plenty of power.

The 185 gross horsepower (138 kW) 6068T diesel engine and beefed-up hydraulics deliver 40,000 pounds (178 kN) of pullback and up to 5000 ft-lb (6780 N-m) torque with 0-240 rpm spindle speed. The unit is capable of pulling multiple conduits up to 1000 feet (300 m) in a single pass. The enhanced cooling system and improved airflow offer extended operation in warm weather conditions.

### 7. Adjustable drill frame.

Exclusive design allows setup at normal drilling angles without raising the tracks off the ground.

### 4. Operator comfort and control.

Instrument panel gauges are positioned for visibility and touch-sensitive controls are placed where you would expect them to be. A single-lever control allows drilling/backreaming adjustments. The exclusive cruise control gives the operator a hands-free way to maintain desired thrust and rotation settings. This highly productive feature helps operator comfort and concentration over a long day of drilling.



### 5. Hydraulic, fourpoint anchor system.

Allows the unit to be firmly secured in all types of ground conditions, even in rock. Heavy-duty design includes 2.5-inch (65-mm) diameter stakes, large hex coupler and reinforced anchor slides.

### 6. Heavy-duty vise breakout system.

Radius cut wrench jaws provide a full, secure grip on pipe, which can increase the service life of the jaw inserts and drill pipe tool joints. This breakout system is positioned to give the operator a clear view of the drill pipe during makeup and breakout.

# JT4020 All Terrain The next generation in horizontal directional drilling innovation.

The JT4020 All Terrain system was designed and built to steer and backream through virtually any type of soil - at distances to 1000 feet (300 m). This includes the ability to drill not only through rock, but through cobblestone, broken rock, gravel, and soil and rock mix as well.

The unit's remarkable drilling capacity is largely due to its advanced dual-drive system. This unique system features an inner pipe with strong hex-shaped collar ends for easy make-up and breakout. The inner

pipe powers a downhole motor that drives the bit during the bore, producing an efficient power transfer system that delivers maximum performance in a small package. The inner pipe also delivers drilling fluid, while the outer pipe thrusts the bit forward, steers the drill string, and works to transmit full machine torque during backreaming.

The dual-drive system of the JT4020 All Terrain greatly expands your capacity to drill in tough ground conditions. And because it accomplishes most bores with a minimal amount of drilling fluid flow, environmental impact and expense are dramatically reduced.

This productive HDD system incorporates Ditch Witch Mach 1 technology, which includes an onboard processor that controls the ground drive and pipeloader, as well as all drilling functions. It also includes a highly productive cruise control feature; just set the control for ground conditions, and it maintains desired thrust, pullback and rotation settings. Cruise control helps increase overall performance by giving the operator greater freedom to focus on the whole job; operators also tell us it helps make long work cycles seem just a little shorter.



Powered by a John Deere liquid-cooled 6068T diesel engine generating 185 gross horsepower (138 kW), the JT4020 All Terrain boasts 40,000 pounds (178 kN) of pullback and up to 5000 ft+lb (6780 N•m) of torque with 240 rpm spindle speed.

Read more about this exciting "next generation" HDD system. Then visit your Ditch Witch dealer, and see for yourself how the JT4020 All Terrain HDD system will give you the ability to drill in almost any around condition.

THE UNDERGROUND AUTHORITY

WORLDWIDE

### SPECS

# **JT4020 All Terrain**



DIMENSIONS	U.S.	METRIC
Length		
Width		
Height (over exhaust stack)		
Weight (AT w/ full pipe box,		
120 gpm drilling fluid pump)		
Weight (AT w/ full pipe box,		
70 gpm drilling fluid pump)		12 428 kg
DRILL PIPE		
AT Pipe capacity		
JT Pipe capacity		
AT POWER PIPE		
Length (w/o inner pipe)		
Joint diameter	4.125 in	
Tubing diameter		
Min. bend radius		
Weight (w/ inner pipe)		
Weight (large AT pipe box w/18 pipe)	5580 lb	
Weight (small AT pipe box w/9 pipe)		1429 kg
JT POWER PIPE		
Length		
Joint diameter		
Tubing diameter		
Min. bend radius	190 ft	
Weight (lined)		
Weight (large JT pipe box w/32 pipe)		
Weight (small JT pipe box w/16 pipe)		1406 kg
OPERATIONAL		
Spindle speed (inner pipe)	0.250 mm	0.250 mm

Spindle speed (inner pipe)		0-250 rpm
Spindle speed (outer pipe)		0-240 rpm
Spindle torque (inner pipe, max)		
Spindle torque (outer pipe, max)	5000 ft+lb	
Carriage speed	0-120 fpm	0-37 m/min
Pulback		
Actual		
Thrust		
Actual (when drilling in AT mode)		
Thrust		
Actual (when drilling in JT mode)		
All Terrain bore diameter (w/ roller cone bit	)	159 mm
JT minimum bore diameter (w/ soil bit)		
Backream diameter	Variable	
Ground drive speed (forward)		0-4.0 km/h
Ground drive speed (reverse)		

### POWER

1 OTTER			
Engine	John Deere Model	#6068TF250 (turbochar	ged)
Fuel	Diesel		× 1000000
Cooling medium .	John Deere Cool-0	Gard	
Number of cylinds	rs6		
Displacement			
Bore			
Stroke			
Intermittent pow	er (@ 2400 rpm)		
Maximum govern	ied speed (no load)		
		and the second se	

DRILLING FLUID	U.S.	METRIC
120 gpm (454 L/min) drilling fluid pump		2200.000
Free flow with water	120 gpm	454 L/min
@ 1000 psi (69 bar)	100 gpm	378 L/min
70 gpm ( 265 L/min) drilling fluid pump		
@ 1200 psi (83 bar)		265 L/min

### FLUID CAPACITIES

Fuel tank	 
Hydraulic reservoir	 
Engine lubrication oil, including filter	 
Engine cooling system	 

### BATTERY

SAE reserve capacity 120 min. 12 volt, negative ground, SAE cold crank @ 0" F (-18 C) 2 batteries @ 800 amps each

### NOISE LEVELS

Operator sound pressure per ISO 6394, 91 dbA Exterior sound power per ISO 6393,113 dbA

Specifications are general and subject to change without notice. If exact measurements are required, equipment should be weighed and measured. Due to selected options, delivered equipment may not necessarily match that shown.



### ISO-9001 Quality System Certified

AUTHORIZED DEALER:



### The Charles Machine Works, Inc.

Ditch Witch® Worldwide Headquarters Perry, OK 73077-0066 U.S.A. • (800) 654-6481 • (580) 336-4402 Fax: (580) 336-3458 • International Fax: (580) 336-3526 Internet: www.ditchwitch.com E-mail: info@ditchwitch.com

# DITCH WITCH®





### **Key Features**

- With excavation and potholing capabilities. the FX30 supports and enhances virtually every HDD application.
- Water pump with exclusive autoclutching feature disengages the pump when water is not in use, allowing full system power to the blower.
- A single element filter with 33% more surface area than competitive systems results in 40% greater filtration capacity.
- A fully-enclosed and insulated power pack makes this the industry's quietest. vacuum system.
- Water system delivers more than twice the pressure normally required to pothole. Contols are located in one lockable, lighted curbside operator station for convenience



	AND DOMINICASI	and the second se
Dimensions	U.S.	Metric
A Length	233 m	5.9 m
B Height	92 in	2.3 m
C Width		2.55 m
D Deck height		
Dry weight		
Weight with full tanks (water)		
and the second se	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Contraction Subjects
Tank	to the second	

### Tank

Capacity	800 gal	302
Length	98 in	2
Diameter	50 in	av the start
Drain valve size	6 in	152
Inlet valve size	and a start of the start of the start of the	102
A A TOP		CZ Then BREP

Engine	2	College of the second s
Engine:	105-B diesel	PT
Cooling mediumantifreeze		
Number of cylinders	216 St. 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	and an and the second
Injection		140 kgf/cm
Displacement		1123 cm
Bore		7.70 cm
Stroke		7.86 cm
*Maximum tilt angle fore & aft		
*Maximum tilt angle side		
Engine manufacturer's gross power rating	@ 3150 rpm	
Maximum governed speed as installed	d (no load) 3000 rpm	
Ehauthand nonune (full load)	00 1-	40 4 1341

\*Exceeding these operating angles will cause engine damage. This DOES NOT IMPLY machine is stable to maximum angle of safe engine operation.



### **SPECIFICATIONS** cont.

HYDRAULIC TILT SYSTEM	U.S.	Metric
Pressure		
Drive type 12 volt DC power		
Cylinder size (2)		
Maximum tilt angle		
Time to tilt fully up		
Time to tilt fully down		

### BATTERY:

SAE cold crank @ 0°F (-18°C) 800 amp, 12 volt

### NOISE LEVELS

Operator 73 dbA sound pressure per ISO 6394, at operator ear 10 ft (3 m) behind vacuum tank.

Exterior 103 dbA sound power per ISO 6393, at vacuum relief.

### VACUUM SYSTEM

Drive type	coupler	
Displacement		
Maximum vacuum		
Vacuum tank door diameter		
Drain valve size	6 in	
Inlet valve size		
Primary shutoff valve size		
Filter type		
Filter area		
Water trap capacity		
Suction hose size		
Suction hase length (total)	30 #	91 m

### PRESSURE WASHER SYSTEM

Maximum pressure		
Flow		15.9 L/min
Hose reel capacity with wash wand		
Antifreeze	0/50 antifreeze/fresh wate	r
Clutch typee	lectric with auto de-clutch	

### FLUID CAPACITIES

Engine oil with filter	4.2 gt	
Fuel tank		
Vacuum pump		
DC hydraulic reservoir		
Pressure washer pump		
Water tank		757 L

### TRAILER Dimensions

Bed length		
Clearance (at jack foot pad)		
Adj. coupler heights		
Width between fenders		
Width outside fenders		
Bed height (at full load)		
General		
Number of axles		
Coupler (square mount drawbar)		
Type of brakes	electric	
Lug nut torque		407 N•m
Hitch bolt torque		
Electrical system	12 volt DC	
Tire Option		
LT215/75R17.5 load range H		
Load Rating		
Tongue weight (empty)		
Tongue weight (full water)		
Max, tongue load		
GVWR (gross vehicle weight rating)		
GAWR (gross axle weight rating)		
MLWR (max load weight rating	14,125 lb	6407 kg
	A 1	

Load ratings for speeds up to 65 mph (104 km/h)

### Ditch Witch of Oregon 7909 N. Upland Drive

Portland, OR 97203 (503)286-6400



# SW 101

# ЦЧО-ВЕЛ. 5<u>9</u>

### The product of choice for seawater exposure and salt contaminated environments.

Wyo-Ben's unique SW 101 is an innovative breakthrough in drilling fluids and containment slurries. This contamination resistant bentonite is engineered for use in slurry cutoff walls and drilling operations where exposure to seawater is expected. It is highly recommended for use in well drilling, caisson drilling, horizontal boring and slurry wall application where traditional bentonite fluids will not perform.

### SW 101

- Hydrates easily in fresh water, brackish water, seawater or a combination
- Displays excellent fluid loss control so formation sloughing is minimized
- Costs less than CMC polymer systems and builds a superior wall cake
- Has superior flow properties due to excellent bore hole stability

The salinity of typical seawater is such that conventional fresh water components cannot function properly. Similarly, materials used in saturated salt muds are not able to respond properly in the limited saline environment of seawater. The table below illustrates the properties achieved by various mud systems mixed in seawater. SW101 demonstrates superior performance and durability and is very cost effective.

Product	Percent Weight	Funnel Viscosity	600 Fann Rdg.	Fluid Loss
SW 101	6	34	15	13.7
	7	36	19	11.5
	8	38	24	9.5
API Grade	6	28	5	92
Hydrogel	7	28	5	87
	8	29	6	81
Extended	6	30	11	109
Extra High	7	32	13	101
Yield	8	34	17	95
Attapulgite	6	35	24	144
Clay	7	38	34	129
-	8	44	48	120

In most operations, adding SW101 at a 7% rate to seawater is ideal (four 50# bags per 300 gallons of make-up water). For best results, establish and maintain a 45 sec/quart marsh funnel viscosity. Drilling in unconsolidated formations may require increased addition rates.

### SW 101 is available in 50 pound & 100 pound bags, bulk bags and bulk.



# WYO-BEN, INC.

# MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET



NEPA FIRE HAZARD

	1.	PRODUCT II	DENTIFICATION
Trade Name(s): SW 101			
Generic Name(s): Wyomi	ing (Western) Bentonite;	Bentonite Clay	(CAS No. 1302-78-9) and other proprietary ingredients
Chemical Name(s): Sodiu	m Montmorillonite (C	AS No. 1318-93	-0) and other proprietary ingredients
Manufacturer: WY Address: P.O. Billi	O-BEN, INC. Box 1979 ngs, Montana 59103		Telephone Numbers: Information: (406) 652-6351 EMERGENCY: (406) 652-6351
	Ц	HAZARDOU	SINGREDIENTS
Ingredient	CAS NO.	%	Hazard
Crystalline Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ) as Quartz	14808-60-7	See Note	Low concentrations of crystalline silica $(SiO_2)$ in the form of quartz may be present in airborne bentonite dust. See Section VI for discussion of health hazard.
provided to a treat Note 2: Although the typ the 10 µ respirat bentonite source, use specific factor	ating medical professiona ical quartz content of we ble threshold size. The , fineness of product, mo- ors.	I under the prov estern bentonite actual respirabl isture content of	isions of 29 CFR 1910.1200(i). is in the range of 2 to 6% most of the quartz particles are larger than e quartz concentration in airborne bentonite dust will depend upon product, local humidity and wind condition at point of use and other
	A	III. PHYS	ICAL DATA
Boiling Point (°F): NA			Specific Gravity (H2O=1): 2.45-2.55
Vapor Pressure (mm. Hg)	Vapor Pressure (mm. Hg): NA Melting Point: Approx. 1450°C		
Vapor Density (Air = 1):	Vapor Density (Air = 1): NA Evaporation Rate (Butyl Acetate = 1): NA		
Solubility in Water: Insoluble, forms colloidal suspension.		pension.	pH: 8-10 (5% aqueous suspension)
Density (at 20° C): 55 lbs	/cu.fl. as product.	2.4	
Appearance and Odor: B	luegray to green as moist	solid, light tan t	o gray as dry powder. No odor.
	IV	. FIRE AND E	XPLOSION DATA
Flash Point: NA			Flammable Limits: LEL: NA UEL: NA
Special Fire Fighting Proc	edures: NA		
Unusual Fire and Explosio	on Hazards: None. Prod	uct will not supp	port combustion.
Extinguishing Media: No	ne for product. Any med	lia can be used f	or the packaging. Product becomes slippery when wet.
		V. REA	CTIVITY
Stability: Stable			
Hazardous Polymerization	n: None		
Incompatibility: None			
Hazardous Decomposition	n Products: None		
NA = Not Applicable	ND = Not Determine	d	
Date Prepared: August 30,	2001		Doc #: 4360-00

	VI. HEALTH	HAZARD INFORM	TION
Routes of Exposure and Effects: Skin: Possible drying resulting in der Eyes: Mechanical irritant. Inhalation: Acute (short term) expos cough. Chronic (long term) e respirable quartz particle le problems. Persistent dry cou Ingestion: No adverse effects.	matitis. ure to dust levels ex xposure to airborne vels are higher tha gh and labored brea	ceeding the PEL may bentonite dust containi n TLV's, may lead thing upon exertion m	cause irritation of respiratory tract resulting in a dry ng respirable size ( $\leq 10 \mu$ ) quartz particles, where to development of silicosis or other respiratory ay be symptomatic.
Permissible Exposure Limits:		OSHA PEL	ACGIH TLV
(for air contaminants) Bentonite as "Particulates not	otherwise regulated"	(8hr. 1WA)	
(Ionnerly huisan	tal dust	15mg/m <sup>3</sup>	ND
Re	spirable dust	5mg/m	ND
Crystalline Quartz (respirable)		0.1mg/m'	0.1 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
detected in all industrial circumstances external factors affecting its biological of 9 <sup>th</sup> Report on Carcinogens - 2000). AC	studied and that ca activity. NTP classif GIH classifies crysta	reinogenicity may depe les respirable crystallin lline silica, quartz, as a	end on characteristics of the crystalline silica or on e silica as "known to be a human carcinogen" (NTP suspected human carcinogen (A2).
Acute Oral LD <sub>10</sub> : ND	Acute De	ermal LDso: ND	Aquatic Toxicology LC50: ND
respiratory illness.	VII. HANDLIN	G AND USE PRECA	UTIONS
steps to be Taken if Material is Release up to avoid generating airborne dust. A	ed or Spilled: Avoid void using water. Pro	i breathing dust; wear r oduct slippery when we	espirator approved for silica bearing dust. Vacuum tted.
Waste Disposal Methods: Product shou	ld be disposed of in a	accordance with applica	ble local, state and federal regulations.
Handling and Storage Precautions: Use bentonite dust levels exceed PEL/TLV wetted.	NIOSH/MSHA resp s. Clean up spills pr	pirators approved for sil comptly to avoid makin	ica bearing dust when free silica containing airborne g dust. Storage area floors may become slippery if
VII	I. INDUSTRIAL P	YGIENE CONTROL	MEASURES
Ventilation Requirements: Mechanical	general room ventil	ation. Use local ventila	tion to maintain PEL's/TLV's.
Respirator: Use respirators approved b	y NIOSH/MSHA for	silica bearing dust.	
Eye Protection: Generally not necessar	y. Personal preferen	ce.	
Gloves: Generally not necessary. Pers	onal preference.		
Other Protective Clothing or Equipmen	t: None		
	IX. SPEC	CIAL PRECAUTION	s
Avoid prolonged inhalation of airborne	dust.		
DEPARTMENT O	FTRANSPORTAT	ION HAZARDOUS	MATERIAL INFORMATION
Shipping Name: NA (Not Regulated)		Hazard Class: N	Α
Hazardous Substance: NA		Caution Labeling	: NA
ate Prepared: August 30, 2001			Doc #: 4360-0

All information presented herein is believed to be accurate, however, it is the user's responsibility to determine in advance of need that the information is current and suitable for their circumstances. No warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied is made by WYO-BEN, INC. as to this information, or as to the safety, toxicity or effect of the use of this product.



### **UNI-DRILL**<sup>®</sup>

UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> is a unique proprietary liquid polymer designed for use in rotary drilling and horizontal directional drilling operations. It conditions drilling fluids to control fluid loss, prevent formation clays from swelling, and will keep tools clean by preventing bit balling. Unlike many commonly used polymers, UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> actually aids in the effective operation of solids control equipment by dropping silts and sands from the fluid. Similarly it is tolerant of brackish and harsh water conditions which adversely affect many other polymers. UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> is environmentally safe and non-fermenting.

### **UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> ADVANTAGES:**

- Safe: Non-polluting, Non-fermenting
- Controls fluid loss
- Coats and inhibits clays
- Builds viscosity
- Mixes easily
- Performs in saline environments
- Reduces friction drag and torque



### **3 EASY STEPS FOR EFFECTIVE DRILLING FLUIDS:**

- 1. Treat make-up water with soda ash to a pH of 8 to 9.
- 2. Add bentonite product-EXTRA HIGH GEL or TRU-BORE
- 3. Add UNI-DRILL®

In air-drilling operations, UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> can be added to stabilize shale and clay formations. Add 1 pint per 100 gallons of water upstream from AIR FOAM or WYO-FOAMER injection.

Below are typical application rates for UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> and other products for use in certain drilling conditions.

### For 500 Gallons of Make-up Water:

Add approximately <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> pound of soda ash to bring water a pH of 8 to 9 In any fluid, always add bentonite products before adding the polymer.

### <u>Clay — 40-45 Sec./Qt.</u>

Extra High Yield Gel & UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> —  $1\frac{1}{2} \pm Bags \& 5 \text{ Qts. UNI-DRILL}^{\$}$ Tru-Bore & UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> —  $1\frac{1}{2} \pm Bags \& 3 + \text{Qts. UNI-DRILL}^{\$}$ 

### <u>Sand — 55-65 Sec/Qt.</u>

Extra High Yield Gel & UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> —  $2^{1/4} - 3 \pm \text{Bags} \& 3 + \text{Qts. UNI-DRILL}^{\$}$ Tru-Bore & UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> —  $2^{1/4} \pm \text{Bags} \& 1^{1/2} \pm \text{Qt. UNI-DRILL}^{\$}$ 

### <u>Unknown or Medium Soils — 45-55 Sec./Qt.</u>

Extra High Yield Gel & UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> —  $2\frac{1}{4} \pm Bags \& 6.5$  Qts. UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> Tru-Bore & UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup> —  $1\frac{1}{2} \pm Bags \& 5$  Qts. UNI-DRILL<sup>®</sup>





# PLUGZ-IT/Max

PLUGZ-IT/Max is a lost circulation material designed to mix and pump with a drilling fluid into cobble, gravel, or fractured zones to restore mud circulation. Based on the original Plugz-It material, Plugz-It/Max is a coarser product engineered specifically for use in vertical drilling operations. It readily seals off coarse gravels, fractured formations, and other profiles where mud-loss is a problem. PLUGZ-IT/Max can be placed directly through the jets in the bit provided they are a minimum of 3 mm or 1/8" in size. PLUGZ-IT/Max is environmentally safe and non-toxic.

### **APPLICATIONS:**

As a **Pill:** In a separate ("pill") tank, mix Extra High Yield Gel to a Marsh Funnel Viscosity of 45 to 65 seconds. Add PLUGZ-IT/Max at a rate of 20 to 40 pounds per 100 gallons. Mix in small batches, 50 to 100 gallons at a time.

- 1. Add PLUGZ-IT/Max slowly into "Pill" tank and circulate for 1 to 2 minutes.
- 2. Once the appropriate quantity is added, quickly pump from the "Pill" tank into place, pulling the drill steel back slowly as the mixture is pumped into the loss zone.
- 3. Pump pressure should remain elevated while pumping to insure PLUGZ-IT/Max is being squeezed into fractured or unconsolidated zones.
- 4. Once all the material is in place, pullback 5 to 10 feet and continue to pump in order to purge the drill string. Once in place the PLUGZ-IT/Max pill should set for 20 to 30 minutes, allowing for complete hydration and expansion to take place. Circulation should be restored at this point.
- 5. Advance back into the hole slowly, using low pump pressure, circulating as you progress and continue the drilling operation. If mud loss is still a problem, repeat the process.

At the first sign of mud loss, Plugz-It/Max can be added slowly at the suction to be carried by the fluid into the loss zone.

PLUGZ-IT/Max is conveniently packaged in 30 pound multi-walled bags.





### **TRU-BORE**

### **The Simplest Solution to Boring Problems**

TRU-BORE is a highly concentrated bentonite based drilling fluid designed for difficult drilling operations in both vertical and horizontal borings. It is extremely effective in horizontal drilling applications to maintain hole integrity during pullback. It is non-toxic and environmentally safe. Its fast-hydrating formula allows contractors to mix fast and build viscosity quickly. TRU-BORE stabilizes formations ranging from moderate clay soils to high concentrations of sand. By forming a thin tough filter cake, fluid loss to areas around the bore hole is reduced. These factors, coupled with excellent gel strength values make TRU-BORE the best risk management tool available today.

### **TYPICAL CHARACTERISTICS:**

- Barrel Yield: 240 260
- Fluid Loss: 12 cc.
- Mesh:  $80\% \pm 2$  passing 200 mesh
- PH 8.1 ± .2
- Moisture:  $8\% \pm 1.5$



### MIXING RATIOS: For 500 Gallons of Make-Up Water

For every 100 gallons of make-up water, adding 15 to 25 pounds of TRU-BORE will yield a viscosity of approximately 45 seconds on a Marsh funnel. At a rate of 27 pounds per 100 gallons, viscosity can climb to 60 seconds.

**<u>Clay:</u>** 1½ bags for viscosity of 32-35 seconds, then add UNI-DRILL liquid polymer to reach a viscosity of 42-45 seconds. (The addition of UNI-DRILL keeps the clays from thickening the mud system even more.)

**Sand:**  $2\frac{1}{4}$  - 3 bags for viscosity of 55 ± seconds

### <u>Unknown or</u>

Medium Soils: 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> - 3 bags for viscosity of 45 seconds

TRU-BORE is packaged in 50 pound multi-walled paper bags, palletized 60 bags per pallet and shrink-wrapped.

Appendix C

**Geotechnical Report** 

Little Diomede Seawater Intake



Geotechnical Report Little Diomede Island Seawater Intake

May 2002

Prepared for: VECO Polar Resources

Prepared by: Peratrovich, Nottingham & Drage, Inc. 1506 West 36<sup>th</sup> Ave Anchorage, Alaska, 99503



### 1. Introduction

This report presents the results of subsurface explorations, laboratory testing and geotechnical engineering studies conducted by Peratrovich, Nottingham & Drage, Inc (PN&D) for the Arctic Environmental Observatory's proposed installation of a seawater intake located at the City of Diomede on Little Diomede Island, Alaska.

Little Diomede Island is located 135 miles northwest of Nome in the middle of the Bering Straits. The village, located on the west side of the island (Fig. 1), has 133 residents who live a subsistence lifestyle. Access is by helicopter during the ice-free months and by fixed wing aircraft during the period when the sea ice is stable enough to construct a runway, usually from February into May.

The Arctic Environmental Observatory is located at the high school on the north end of the village. A temporary seawater intake line was installed in the summer of 2000 and 2001. The line was incased in a pipe through the surf zone and laid on the seafloor out to a distance of a 150 feet from the shore in 10 feet of water. This method of installation was not reliable, requiring maintenance after summer storms and was vulnerable to damage by sea ice. To reduce the risk of damage to the seawater intake lines and create a long term, low maintenance installation several options have been proposed, two of which involve running the line under the seafloor. The proposed permanent intake is to be located in  $26\pm$  feet of water,  $600\pm$  feet from shore to ensure that it is operable through the winter.

This geotechnical investigation was undertaken to determine subsurface conditions at the site and to evaluate various options for installation of the seawater intake line. A total of seven test holes were drilled as part of this study, at locations along the proposed route of seawater intake line.

### 2. Equipment and Methods

### 2.1 Field Investigation

PN&D conducted a subsurface investigation at the site from March 6 to April 5, 2002. The investigation consisted of seven testholes, identified as TH-1, TH-1A, TH-2, TH-04, TH-06, TH-06A and TH-07 to depths of 15 to 49 feet from the ice surface. Testhole logs are presented in Appendix A. Testhole locations and ground/seafloor elevations are shown on Figure 2. Testhole locations and elevations were surveyed with a theodolite and electronic distance-measuring device (EDM) to an arbitrary datum and are accurate to  $\pm 1$  foot.

Geotechnical drilling services were provided by Denali Drilling, Inc. as subcontractors to VECO Polar Resources. All drilling was supervised by a PN&D geologist who prepared a log of each testhole. A CME 45 sled mounted drill rig was used in conjunction with a







variety of down hole drills/hammers and advancing 4"casing. After completion all casing was removed.

Samples were collected at 10-ft intervals, where conditions permitted. Sampling methods included split-spoon sampling of sediment, rock coring and collection of drill cuttings. Split-spoon sampling was conducted by methods described in ASTM D 1586 using a 2.5-inch inside diameter (I.D.) by 3-inch outside diameter (O.D.) sampler. The sampler was driven using a 300-pound safety hammer, falling 30 inches per blow. The safety hammer was raised using a cathead. This type of sampling is noted with the abbreviation "Sm" on the borehole logs and in this report. The split-spoon sampler was driven a minimum of 18 inches at each sample location, with blow counts being recorded for each 6-inch interval. Unadjusted, uncorrected blow counts required to penetrate the sampling interval from 6 to 18 inches are reported on the final borehole logs. These values give a measure of the relative density of cohesionless soils, or the relative consistency of cohesive soils. Rock core sampling was conducted by methods described in ASTM D 2113-99 using a 2-inch I.D. swivel type double tube core barrel.

### 2.2 Laboratory Testing

Selected representative sediment and rock samples were sent to a lab to confirm field classifications and to determine index properties of the typical materials encountered at the site. A total of 4 sediment samples were submitted for laboratory particle size analysis. Three rock cores were submitted for compressive strength analysis. Alaska Testlab in Anchorage, AK performed the laboratory testing. Laboratory results are included in Table 1 and, Appendices B and C.

Field and laboratory soil and rock classification and testing was conducted in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and the following ASTM Standards:

- D 422 Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils
- D 1586 Method for Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils
- D 2487 Test Method for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes
- D 2488 Practice for Description and Identifications of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure)
- D 6032 Test Method for Determining rock Quality Designation (RQD) of Rock Core

All sediment and rock samples were retained for possible further reference.

PERATROVICH, NOTTINGHAM & DRAGE, INC

Sampling Methods Sm - 2"ID spoon with 300lb hammer, G - cuttings, Cd - rock core

Sample #	qвЈ	Depth	Method	Description	Lab Results
TH-01 Sample 1		. 11	Sm	gravel with pebbles (up to 1.5") with sand/silt matrix BC (blow counts not reported, went through rock)	
TH-01A Sample 1	*	31	Sm	white and tan sand with black fine sand/silt matrix BC 11, 20, 11 (Blow Counts low, not undisturbed sample)	Well Graded Sand with Silt, SW-SM
TH-01A Sample 2		37	Ċ	fine gravel with sand (up to 0.5")	
TH-01A Sample 3	*	42	ი	gravel (up to 0.5") with sand	Well Graded Sand with Gravel, SW
TH-02 Sample 1		o	G	gravel (up to 0.25") with sand	
TH-02 Sample 2	*	18	G	silt with sand/clay	Poorly Graded Sand with Silt, SP-SM
TH-02 Sample 3		26	ŋ	clay with silt	
TH-04 Sample 1		23	Ċ	broken cobbles	
TH-04 Sample 2	*	40	Cd	4.5' of coring, 2.5' recovered, granitic, RQD 23%	19,290 psi compressive strength
TH-06A Sample 1	*	44	Sm	granitic pebbles up to 2" BC (blow counts not reported, pebble jammed in sampler)	Poorly Graded Gravel with Sand, GP
TH-06A Sample 2	*	45	Cd	4' rock core, granitic, RQD 70%	19,500 psi compressive strength
TH-07 Sample 1	*	44	Cd	2' core, granitic, RQD 62%	21,850 psi compressive strength
TH-07 Sample 2		46	Cd	3' core, granitic, RQD 62%	
Table 1. Des	crip	otion of	f sampl€	es taken and lab results where applicable.	

May 2002 Page 5

Little Diomede Island Geotechnical Report

### 3. Site Conditions

### 3.1 Surface Conditions

Little Diomede Island is approximately two square miles in area and rises 1300' above the Bering Strait. The island is composed of talus and bedrock of porphyritic granite. The shoreward end of the proposed seawater intake line is at the base of a talus slope that has been benched for construction of the Diomede High School, the school heat plant and water storage tanks. The bench is 15'-20' above and 35' back from the shore. The slope down to the shore consists of 2-4' sub-angular boulders that become smaller and more rounded toward the shore. Underwater video of the temporary intake line on the seafloor out to 150' off shore shows rounded cobbles covered in seaweed. At 300 ft boulders and sand was found at the surface. At 500 ft offshore three feet of sand and broken shells were found on the surface of the seafloor. The villagers indicated that sediment from slides on the north end of the island into the sea moves through the area. It is possible that discrete sediment waves form from the slide debris and move through the project area. At 600 ft offshore bedrock was at the surface.

### 3.2 Subsurface Conditions

No subsurface investigations have been done at the proposed entrance location of the seawater intake line. Villagers who worked on the high school just south of the site said that sand and boulders were encountered when excavating for the foundation. Larsen Engineering investigated the elementary school foundation soils, 150 ft south of the site, and dug three test holes to a maximum depth of 6 ft. The hole located nearest the shore had medium course sand to 3 ft boulders. The two holes inshore had fewer cobbles and boulders with sand and fines. Frozen soil was encountered 2.5 to 3 ft deep.

Bedrock was reached at testholes TH-01A, TH-02, TH-04, TH-06A, and TH-07. Bedrock was cored in testholes TH-04, TH-06A and TH-07. Bedrock is found at an average elevation of 933 ft (arbitrary datum of 1000 feet at the high school foundation), approximately 40 feet below the ice surface. The top of bedrock is relatively flat, with elevations in most testhole locations ranging from 928 ft to 933 ft with bedrock at 940 ft in TH-04.

Material overlaying the bedrock ranged in thickness from 38 ft to 0.5 ft decreasing farther offshore. At TH-01A and TH-02 the material overlaying the bedrock, 38 ft and 36 ft thick, respectively, consists of granite cobbles and boulders of up to 4 ft in a sand matrix, with 1-6 ft layers of silt and/or clay. Directly on top of the bedrock is a layer of black, sandy gravel with coarser gravel at the top of bedrock. This gravel layer is 13 ft thick at TH-01, and 7 ft thick at TH-02. In testholes TH-04, TH-06, TH-06A and TH-07 only the cobbles and boulders with a sandy matrix are present.

The bedrock is a porphyritic granite composed of approximately:

- 15% Quartz,
- 62% Potassium Feldspar,
- 5% Biotite,

- 3% Hornblende, and
- 15% Plagioclase.

The largest crystals (phenocrysts), up to 1.5 inches, are potassium feldspar. These are the largest in samples from TH-07, in the sample from TH-06 they are smaller, but more numerous. The sample from TH-04 shows the most variation in phenocryst size and mineral composition; there is a greater amount of biotite and hornblende in some portions of the sample, and in these areas phenocrysts may be absent.

The rock cores obtained from testholes TH-04, TH-06A and TH-07 have Rock Quality Designations (RQD's) of 23%, 70% and 62%, respectively, and compressive strength of 19,000 to 21,000 psi (see App. C). At the bottom of TH-04 drill cuttings were lost during the first coring run and an attempt at a second coring run was abandoned because five feet of sediment had filled the hole while resetting the core barrel.

### 4. References

Jumikis, A.R. 1983. Rock Mechanics. Gulf Publishing Company, Houston, TX.

- Larsen Engineering, Inc. 1997. Diomede Elementary School Foundation Repairs. Prepared for Bering Strait School District. December 15, 1997.
- R&M Consultants, Inc. 1979. Report on Proposed High School Foundation Site Selection and Inspection, Little Diomede Island, Alaska. Prepared for Bering Strait School District. August 27, 1979.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 1980. Geologic Map of Alaska.

## APPENDIX A: SOIL TEST HOLE LOGS

### SOILS CLASSIFICATION

<u>CLASSIFICATION</u>: Soils identification and classification is accomplished in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System per ASTM D 2487 and D 2488.

	MAJOR DI	/ISIONS			TYPICAL NAMES
		Clean gravels	GW	0.s( 0.00	Well graded gravels, gravel—sand mixtures
5	GRAVELS More than half coarse fraction	no fines	GP	0000	Poorly graded gravels, gravel—sand mixtures
LS : larg ve	is larger than No. 4 sieve size	Gravels with	GM		Silty Gravels, poorly graded gravel—sand—silt mixtures
<b>ED SOI</b> alf is 0 sie		fines	GC	0   0 0   0	Clayey gravels, poorly graded gravel—sand—clay mixtures
GRAINI han h o. 20	CANDO	Clean sands with little or	SW		Well graded sands, gravelly sands
JARSE ore the Lan N	More than half coarse fraction	no fines	SP		Poorly graded sands, gravelly sands
5 Å Č	is smaller than No. 4 sieve size	Sands with	SM	<pre>/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /</pre>	Silty sand, poorly graded sand—silt mixtures
		fines	SC		Clayey sands, poorly graded sand—clay mixtures
ler			ML		Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands, or clayey silts with slight plasticity
smal. 'e	SILTS AN	D CLAYS	CL		Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays lean clays
<b>olLS</b> JIf is siev	Liquid limit l	ess than 50	OL		Organic clays and organic silty clays of low plasticity
an ha	SII TS ANI		ΜН		Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomacious fine sandy or silty soils, elastic silts
E CRAI re th nn No	Liquid limit gre	eater than 50	СН		Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays
FIN Mo tho			ОН		Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts
	HIGH ORGA	NIC SOILS	PT	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Peat and other highly organic soils

### LITTLE DIOMEDE ISLAND GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

P Peratrovich, Nottingham & Drage, Inc. N Engineering Consultants

1506 West 36th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 561-1011

FAX (907) 563-4220

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

FIGURE

IGURE







Peratrovich, Nottingham & Drage, Inc. Pn Engineering Consultants 1506 West 36th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 561-1011 FAX (907) 563-4220

**TEST HOLE LOG TH-01** 

FIGURE









	arbitrary datu	М
	ICE	— 9'
	SEA WATER	
) <u>so</u> (	SAND with shells	— 23' — 26'
COMPL	etion of DRILLING	32'
		LITTLE DIOMEDE ISLAND GEOTECHNICAL REPORT
		Peratrovich, Nottingham & Drage, Inc. Engineering Consultants
		1506 West 36th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 561-1011 FAX (907) 563-422



## APPENDIX B: SOIL SIEVE ANALYSIS RESULTS

TH-01A, SA-1 ition: TH-01A, SA-1 Submitted by Client Bubmitted by Client Submitted by Client neering Classification: Well Graded SAND with Silt, SW-SM neering Classification: Well Graded SAND with Silt, SW-SM not Measured No. 4 No. 4 No. 4 No. 4 No. 4 No. 4 No. 200 No. 200 N	DI W.O. Lab N. Recei Recor <sup>3</sup> " <sup>11/2</sup> "
tion: TH-01A, SA-1 Submitted by Client neering Classification: Well Graded SAND with Silt, SW-SM t Classification: Not Measured a <sup>3</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup>	W.O. Lab N Recei Repor 3" 11/2"
Submitted by Client neering Classification: Well Graded SAND with Silt, SW-SM t Classification: Not Measured a) a) a	Lab N Recei Repor 3" 3" 1 1/2"
neering Classification: Well Graded SAND with Silt, SW-SM t Classification: Not Measured 3.8" 20 40.20 100% 40 40.20 90% 40% 40.20 90% 4	Receiver the second state of the second state
neering Classification: Well Graded SAND with Silt, SW-SM t Classification: Not Measured a." 2" 100% # 800 100% # 10 # 16 90% # 900 90% #	Repor srzE 3" 2" 1 1/2"
Classification: Not Measured 100% 3" 2" 1/2" 3/4" 100% 40 # 50 100% 40 # 50 100% 40 * 0 100% 40 * 0 100	stzfe +3 in Not 1 3" 2" 1 1/2" 1"
0.0200 0.0200	+3 in Not ] 3" 2" 1 1/2"
	2" 2" 1 1/2"
	1 1/2" 1"
	1"
	T
	3/4"
	1/2"
	3/8"
	No. 4
	Total Wt.
	No. 10
40%	No. 16
30%	No. 20
	No. 30 No. 40
	No. 50
	No. 60
	No. 80
100 10 1 0.1 0.01 C	001 No. 200
Trottak 1000 Dartiala Siza (mm)	Total Wt. c

David L Andersen David L. Andersen, P.E., General Manager

PARTICLE-SIZE	DIST. ASTM D422	W.O. A29727	Lab No. 551	Received: 4/22/02	Reported: 4/26/02	SIZE PASSING SPECIFICATION	+3 in Not Included in Test = $\sim 0\%$	ں 2"	1 1/2"	1"	3/4" 100%	1/2" 90%	3/8" 82%	No. 4 56%	Total Wt. $= 1395g$	No. 8	No. 10 32%	No. 16	No. 20 18%	No. 30 No. 40 1302	No. 50	No. 60 5%	No. 80	No. 100 2%	No. 200 0.6%	Total Wt. of Fine Fraction = $378.3g$	0.02
ALASKA Client: Peratrovich Nottingham & Drage	A DIVISION OF DOWL LLC Project: Little Diomede 01013.03	Location: TH-01A, SA-3	Submitted by Client		Engineering Classification: Well Graded SAND with Gravel, SW	Frost Classification: NFS (MOA)	3" 2" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3"					14f	20%												100 10 1 0.1 0.01 0.001	© Alacka Tactlah. 1000 Dartiela Siza (mm)	

David L AnderSen David L. Andersen, P.E., General Manager

PARTICLE-SIZE	DIST. ASTM D422	W.O. A29727	Lab No. 550	Received: 4/22/02	Reported: 4/26/02	SIZE PASSING SPECIFICATION	+3 in Not Included in Test = ~0%	.c.	 1	3/4"	1/2" 100%	3/8" 99%	No. 4 94%	Total Wt. $= 292.3g$	No. 8	No. 10 81%	No. 16	No. 20 69%	No. 30	No. 40 60%	NO. 00 43%	No. 100 26%	No. 200 12%	Total Wt. of Fine Fraction $= 274.4g$	0.02 mm
ALASKA Client: Peratrovich Nottingham & Drage	A DIVISION OF DOWL LLC Project: Little Diomede 01013.03	Location: TH-02, SA-2	Submitted by Client		Engineering Classification: Poorly Graded SAND with Silt, SP-SM	Frost Classification: Not Measured	3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3" 3													20%			100 10 1 0.1 0.01 0.001		© Alaska Testlab, 1999

David L Andersen David L. Andersen, P.E., General Manager

PARTICLE-SIZE	DIST. ASTM D422	W.O. A29727	Lab No. 549	Received: 4/22/02	Reported: 4/26/02	SIZE PASSING SPECIFICATION	+3 in Not Included in Test = $\sim 0\%$	<u>5</u>	1 1/2" 100%	1" 90%	3/4" 80%	1/2" 66%	3/8" 57%	No. 4 41%	Total Wt. $= 439.8g$	No. 8	No. 10 31%	No. 16	No. 20 15%	No. 30 No. 40 50	NO 500 3%	No. 50 No. 60 3%	No. 80	No. 100 1%	No. 200 0.8%	Total Wt. of Fine Fraction = $182.5g$	0.02 mm
ALASKA Client: Peratrovich Nottingham & Drage	A DIVISION OF DOWL LLC Project: Little Diomede 01013.03	Location: TH-06, SA-1	Submitted by Client		Engineering Classification: Poorly Graded GRAVEL with Sand, GP	Frost Classification: NFS (MOA)	3." 3." 3.4." 3.4." 3.4." 3.4." 4.5.000 4.5.000 4.5.000 4.5.0000 4.5.0000000000					<u>ч</u>	10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%			50%					20%			ل م معمدينيا يا معمل <del>ية التركيم</del> معلمينيا بالمعامينية الملمام 10% 0%	100 10 1 0.1 0.01 0.001		© Alaska Testlab, 1999

David L Andersen David L. Andersen, P.E., General Manager

## APPENDIX C: ROCK CORE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST RESULTS



May 17, 2002 W.O. A29727

Peratrovich Nottingham & Drage 1506 W. 36th Ave. Suite 101 Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Attention:	Mr. Jasper Hardison
------------	---------------------

Project: Little Diomede 01013.03 Rock Core Compressive Strength

Sample I.D.	Diameter (in)	Height (in)	Load (lbs)	Area (in)	Compressive Strength (psi)
TH-04, SA-02	1.853"	3.530"	51,496	2.67	19,290
TH-6A, SA-02	1.856"	3.655"	52,653	2.70	19,500
TH-07, SA-01	1.868"	3.502"	59,866	2.74	21,850

David L. Andersen, P.E., General Manager

A29727.29727 Rock Core psi.DLA.051702.jlb

# Appendix D: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



View from above the village looking west. Cleared area on right side of photo is for the drilling and goes out approximately 600 ft from shore. Drill rig can be seen above the four rectangular water tanks of the high school.



Drilling at hole TH-07. Little Diomede Island in background.



Drilling at hole TH-01A. Diomede High School in the background.



Drilling at hole TH-07. Big Diomede Island, Russia in the right background.



View of slope below Diomede High School showing the existing seawater intake line.



View to the north of Diomede High School and talus slope to the shore. The Science Shack is underneath the far end of the school.